

MEMORANDUM

TO: Ron Patterson, Assistant City Manager

FROM: Todd Renshaw, Chief of Police

SUBJECT: 2009 Racial Profiling Report

DATE: February 12, 2010

Introduction

For the purpose of this report, racial profiling is defined as a practice in which a police officer initiates action based on an individual's race, ethnicity, or national origin rather than on the individual's behavior or on information identifying the individual as having engaged in criminal activity. The belief by many that racial profiling occurs routinely in our country's police departments has caused obvious concern amongst the nation's communities including Frisco.

Since January 1, 2002, the Frisco Police Department, in accordance with the Texas Racial Profiling Law (S.B. No. 1074), has been collecting police contact data for the purpose of identifying and responding (if necessary) to concerns regarding racial profiling practices. The attached report provides statistical data relevant to the public contacts made during the period of 1/1/09 to 12/31/09. In addition to the mandated data, an analysis of the data and recommendations for future areas of research has been included.

In conclusion, the findings suggest that the Department does not currently experience a problem regarding racial profiling practices. The continuing effort to collect police contact data will enable an on-going evaluation of police practices. Thus, allowing for the citizens of the community to benefit from professional and courteous service from their police department.

If you have any questions or comment, feel free to contact me. If Council would like to further discuss this report at a work session or council meeting, let me know.



MEMORANDUM

TO: Todd Renshaw, Chief of Police

FROM: John Bruce, Assistant Chief of Police

SUBJECT: 2009 Racial Profiling Report

DATE: February 12, 2010

Introduction

The Frisco Police Department, in accordance with the Texas Racial Profiling Law (S.B. No. 1074), has been collecting police contact data for the purpose of identifying and responding (if necessary) to concerns regarding racial profiling practices. The law also requires that the police department submit to the governing body (city council) an annual report of the information collected. The purpose of this annual report is to provide statistical data and analysis for a year's worth of traffic and pedestrian stop data covering the period of January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009. To accomplish this, this report will focus upon the following topics:

- 1. How the traffic and pedestrian stop data was collected
- 2. An overview of the racial/ethnic data collected
- 3. An analysis of what the Department believes the statistics mean, as well as what the Department has learned from the data
- 4. Problems Identified when Analyzing the Data
- 5. Recommendations Addressing Issues of Concern

How the Traffic and Pedestrian Stop Data Was Collected

The Texas Racial Profiling Law (SB 1074) mandated collection of information relating to traffic and pedestrian stops in which a citation is issued and to arrests resulting from those stops. The data (called Tier 1 data) would include:

- 1. The race or ethnicity of the individual detained
- 2. Whether a search was conducted
- 3. Whether the search was consensual

An Overview of the Racial/Ethnicity Data Collected

Table 1 contains the information collected from traffic and pedestrian stops in 2009 where a citation was issued or an arrest was made. Table 2 contains the information collected from traffic and pedestrian stops in 2008 where a citation was issued or an arrest was made. Table 2 is provided for comparison purposes. Assignment of race and/or ethnicity to a category is either as stated by the stopped person or as determined by the officer to best of his ability. A consensual search is determined by a request from the officer to conduct a search. The person stopped is under no obligation to consent to the search. If they do not consent, then the officer must have probable cause for the search. This would include search incident to an arrest, inventory of vehicle after arrest, or other probable cause for searches without a warrant.

Table 1 reads, for example, that we stopped 11,429 Caucasians and either issued them a citation or arrested them. This accounted for 69.86% of all cited or arrested. Of the 11,429 only 3.25% of them were searched. 19.35% of the searches were consensual and the rest non-consensual. 3.87% of the Caucasians were arrested for the traffic violation or outstanding warrants.

Table 1. General Demographics of Contacts and Searches 2009

Race/Ethnicity	Contacts		Searches		Consensual		Non-		Custody	
*			ļ		Searches		Consensual		Arrest	
					<u> </u>		Searches			
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Caucasian	11079	69.42	370	3.34	142	38.38	228	61.62	498	4.49
African	1757	11.01	114	6.49	48	42.11	66	57.89	188	10.70
Hispanic	2236	14.01	146	6.53	71	48.63	75	51.37	198	8.86
Asian	707	4.43	13	1.84	4	30.77	9	69.23	19	2.69
Native	21	0.13	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00
American										
Other	160	1.00	5	3.13	3	60.00	2	40.00	3	1.88
Total	15960	100	648	4.06	268	41.36	380	58.64	906	5.68

^{*}Race/Ethnicity are defined by SB 1074 as being of a "particular descent, including Caucasian, African, Hispanic, Asian, or Native American".

Table 2. General Demographics of Contacts and Searches 2008

Race/Ethnicity	Contacts		Searches		Consensual		Non-		Custody	
*					Searches		Consensual		Arrest	
							Searches			
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Caucasian	11429	69.86	372	3.25	72	19.35	300	80.65	443	3.87
African	1777	10.86	132	7.42	23	17.42	109	82.58	182	10.24
Hispanic	2387	14.59	177	7.40	50	28.24	127	71.75	228	9.55
Asian	607	3.71	12	2.0	4	33.33	8	66.66	13	2.14
Native	14	.09	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
American										
Other	145	.89	4	2.7	0	0	4	100	5	3.4
Total	16359	100	697	4.26	149	21.3	548	78.7	871	5.32

^{*}Race/Ethnicity are defined by SB 1074 as being of a "particular descent, including Caucasian, African, Hispanic, Asian, or Native American".

Department's Analysis of the Data/Lessons Learned

This is the eighth year that this report is required. Agencies across the state are still determining the best and most accurate means of capturing, reporting, and comparing data to achieve meaningful analysis. The data presented in this report contains valuable information regarding police contacts with the public between 1/1/09 and 12/31/09. Despite its value, the raw data does not present much information relevant to racial profiling trends. Although further analysis of the data is warranted, it is problematic. The question begging an answer is what comparison data is available? The experts in this field identify two sources. One is census data for our community and the second is DPS data for licensed drivers in our community. Both sources present challenges to any effort made at establishing a fair and accurate analysis. Census data contains information of all residents of a particular community, regardless of the fact they may or may not be among the driving population. Census data is also out-dated especially for our city that is growing at a fast rate. DPS data is only available sorted by zip code and 75034/75035 contains driver information for persons living outside the city. DPS data, at least, identifies those persons who ought to be driving in Frisco, but certainly cannot account for drivers coming here to work, shop or just passing through. Both of these data integrity issues have a tendency of inflating the overall figures; thus, providing an inaccurate representation of police contacts with the public. Having said that, experts agree that you should compare it to something.

A comparison of city residents who were cited or arrested during a traffic or pedestrian stop during 2009 with those who, according to DPS, were residents of 75034/75035 during that time and held a valid driver's license was completed.

The DPS data showed that 80.50% of residents that were Caucasian, 8.03% were African-American, 0.24% were Native American, 9.00% were Asian, and the rest were classified as other. It must be noted that Hispanics were grouped with Caucasians for purposes of this analysis since DPS does not collect information related to the ethnicity of drivers.

Our data showed that of the 15,960 persons either cited or arrested, approximately 51.75% (8260) were Frisco residents. Caucasians accounted for 71.84%, African-Americans for 10.14%, American Indians .09%, Asians for 5.58% and the rest were classified as other.

The data produced interesting findings. That is, the number of police contacts with Caucasian drivers, when compared to the number of Caucasian city residents who held a valid drivers license, demonstrated that the number of contacts with the police were slightly under-represented as were Asians and Indians. African-Americans were slightly over-represented. Neither difference is statistically significant.

Profiling Complaints Received

	Complaints	Sustained	Unfounded	Exonerated	Not Sustained
2009	2	0	2	0	0
2008	8	0	8	0	0

During this past year we received 2 profiling complaints. All profiling complaints received are investigated by the Professionals Standards Unit. During this past year the department continued its effort to encourage citizens to report/complain of any incident where they felt officers of the department may have been racially biased.

In conclusion, the findings suggest that the Department does not currently experience a problem regarding racial profiling practices. The continuing effort to collect police contact data will enable an on-going evaluation of police practices. Thus, allowing for the citizens of the community to benefit from professional and courteous service from their police department.

Recommendations Addressing Issues of Concern

Beyond the collection of data, the Department will continue to publish information about the Racial Profiling Policy to its personnel and also the public. Complaints of racial profiling will be investigated. I have attached a copy of our Racial Profiling Policy.

If you have any questions or comments, feel free to contact me.

Appendix A

Department Policy on Racial Profiling

GENERAL ORDER 6.20

ISSUED: December 1, 2001

REVISED: January 13, 2010 **EFFECTIVE:** January 25, 2010



BIAS BASED PROFILING

Standards: 1.2.9a, 1.2.9b, 1.2.9c, 1.2.9d

6.20.01 PURPOSE.

The purpose of the policy is to reaffirm the Frisco Police Department's commitment to unbiased policing in all its encounters between an officer and any person; to reinforce procedures that serve to ensure public confidence and mutual trust through the provision of services in a fair and equitable fashion; and to protect our officers from unwarranted accusations of misconduct when they act within the dictates of departmental policy and the law.

6.20.02 **POLICY.**

It is the policy of this department to police in a proactive manner and to aggressively investigate suspected violations of law. Officers shall actively enforce state and local laws in a responsible and professional manner, without bias. Officers are strictly prohibited from engaging in bias based profiling as defined in this policy. This policy shall be applicable to all persons, whether drivers, passengers or pedestrians; traffic contacts, field contacts, and in asset seizure and forfeiture efforts. [1.2.9a]

Officers shall conduct themselves in a dignified and respectful manner at all times when dealing with the public. Two of the fundamental rights guaranteed by both the United States and Texas constitutions are equal protection under the law and freedom from unreasonable searches and seizures by government agents. The right of all persons to be treated equally and to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures must be respected. Racial profiling is an unacceptable patrol tactic and will not be condoned.

This policy shall not preclude officers from offering assistance, such as upon observing a substance leaking from a vehicle, a flat tire, or someone who appears to be ill, lost or confused. Nor does this policy prohibit stopping someone suspected of a crime based upon observed actions and/or information received about the person.

6.20.03 **DEFINITIONS.**

- **A.** Bias Based Profiling A law enforcement-initiated action based solely on a trait common to a group. This includes, but is not limited to , race, ethnic background, gender, sexual orientation, religion, economic status, age, cultural group, or any other identifiable group.
 - 1. Bias based profiling pertains to persons who are viewed as suspects or potential suspects of criminal behavior. The term is not relevant as it pertains to witnesses, complainants or other citizen contacts.
 - 2. The prohibition against bias based profiling does not preclude the use of race, ethnicity or national origin as factors in a detention decision. Race, ethnicity or national origin may be legitimate factors in a detention decision when used as part of an actual description of a specific suspect for whom an officer is searching. Detaining an individual and conducting an inquiry into that person's activities simply because of that individual's race, ethnicity or national origin is racial profiling.
 - 3. Examples of bias based profiling include but are not limited to the following:
 - a. Citing a driver who is speeding in a stream of traffic where most other drivers are speeding because of the cited driver's race, ethnicity or national origin.
 - b. Detaining the driver of a vehicle based on the determination that a person of that race, ethnicity or national origin is unlikely to own or possess that specific make or model of vehicle.
 - c. Detaining an individual based upon the determination that a person of that race, ethnicity or national origin does not belong in a specific part of town or a specific place.
 - 4. A law enforcement agency can derive two principles from the adoption of this definition of bias based profiling:
 - a. Police may not use bias as a factor in selecting whom to stop and search, while police may use race in conjunction with other known factors of the suspect.
 - b. Law enforcement officers may not use bias as a factor in selecting whom to stop and search. Bias based profiling is not relevant as it pertains to witnesses, etc.
- B. Race or Ethnicity Of a particular descent, including but not limited to Caucasian, African, Hispanic, Asian, or Native American, or Middle Eastern.
- **C. Motor Vehicle Stop** A peace officer who stops a motor vehicle for an alleged violation of a law or ordinance regulating traffic.

6.20.04 TRAINING.

A. Officers are responsible to adhere to all Texas Commission on Law Enforcement Officer Standards and Education (TCLEOSE) training and the Law Enforcement Management Institute of Texas (LEMIT) requirements as mandated by law.

B. Officers will attend and successfully complete any training as required by the department regarding bias profiling. The department will offer training at least annually on profiling related training that includes field contacts, traffic stops, search issues, asset seizure and forfeiture, interview techniques, cultural diversity, discrimination, and community support. [1.2.9b]

6.20.05 COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION.

- A. The department shall accept complaints from any person who believes he or she has been stopped or searched based on racial, ethnic or national origin profiling. No person shall be discouraged, intimidated or coerced from filing a complaint, nor discriminated against because he or she filed such a complaint.
- B. Any employee who receives an allegation of bias based profiling, including the officer who initiated the stop, shall record the person's name, address and telephone number, and forward the complaint through the appropriate channel or direct the individual(s) to a supervisor. Any employee contacted shall provide to that person a copy of a complaint form or the department process for filing a complaint. All employees will report any allegation of bias based to their superior before the end of their shift.
- C. Investigation of a complaint shall be conducted in a thorough and timely manner and in accordance with G. O. 3.05 Professional Standards. [1.2.9c]
- D. If there is a departmental video or audio recording of the events upon which a complaint of bias based profiling is related to, upon commencement of an investigation by this department into the complaint and written request of the officer made the subject of the complaint, this department shall promptly provide a copy of the recording to that officer.

6.20.06 PUBLIC EDUCATION.

This department will inform the public of its policy against bias based profiling and the complaint process. Methods that may be utilized to inform the public are the news media, radio, service or civic presentations, the Internet, as well as governing board meetings. Additionally, information will be made available as appropriate in languages other than English.

6.20.07 USE OF VIDEO AND AUDIO EQUIPMENT.

A. Each motor vehicle regularly used by this department to make traffic and pedestrian stops is equipped with a video camera and transmitter-activated equipment, and each motorcycle

- regularly used by this department to make traffic and pedestrian stops is equipped with transmitter-activated audio recording equipment; and
- B. Each motor vehicle and pedestrian stop made by an officer of this department that is capable of being recorded by video and audio, or audio, as appropriate, is recorded.
- C. This department shall retain the video and audiotapes, or the audiotape of each motor vehicle and pedestrian stop for at least ninety (90) days after the date of the stop. If a complaint is filed with this department alleging that one of our officers has engaged in bias based profiling with respect to a traffic or pedestrian stop, this department shall retain the video and audiotapes, or the audiotape of the stop until final disposition of the complaint.
- D. Supervisors will ensure officers of this department are recording their motor vehicle and pedestrian stops. A recording of each officer will be reviewed at least once every ninety (90) days.
- E. If the equipment used to record audio and/or video of motor vehicle or pedestrian stops is malfunctioning or otherwise not operable, the officer making the stop may properly record and report the information as required in 6.20.08.
- **F.** In circumstances where an Officer's video and audio equipment is not functioning or not available officers are still required to collect the required bias based profiling data. This can be accomplished by communicating the data to dispatch at a later time, filling out a motor vehicle data collection form and submitting it, or the officer himself enters the required data via the MDC as soon as practical after the stop is completed.

6.20.08 REQUIRED BIASED BASED PROFILING DATA TO BE COLLECTED.

A. Officers should collect all of the required based based profiling data as outlined in the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure Section 2.133.

6.20.09 REPORTING DATA.

Not later than March 1st of each year, the department shall submit a report to their governing board that includes the information gathered by the citations. The report will include for motor vehicle operators only:

- 1. a breakdown of citations by race or ethnicity;
- 2. a breakdown of whether race/ethnicity was known prior to a motor vehicle stop;
- 3. a breakdown of initial reasons for motor vehicle stop;

- 4. number of citations that resulted in a search;
- 5. number of searches that were consensual; and
- 6. number of citations that resulted in custodial arrest for this cited violation or any other violation.

6.20.10 ANNUAL REVIEW. [1.2.9d]

An annual review, of the above reporting data to include complaints, will be submitted to the Chief of Police, not later than March 1st. This report will be created out of the office of the Assistant Chief. This report will review agency practices, to include any racial or bias complaints. It will also outline any citizen concerns that have been made available to the department.

6.20.11 PRIOR ORDERS.

From and after the effective date of issuance of this order, it shall be in full force and shall govern the operations of this department with regard to its subject matter. Former orders, policies, directives and memoranda relating to the subject matter are hereby specifically revoked and they shall be of no force and effect from and after the date of issuance of this order.

Todd V. Renshaw	Date	
Chief of Police		